



**DRAFT Innovation Fund 2019
Request for Proposals
Evaluation Rubric**

Pass/Fail Criteria Rated by CNYCC:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RFP was submitted by a lead applicant that is a Safety-Net, CNYCC partner, with executed Phase 3 Contract. 	
Pass/Fail Criteria Rated by Contractor:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed project does not duplicate existing DSRIP projects or Phase 2/3 Performance Activities. 	

Scored Criteria Rated by CNYCC:		
	Score	Criteria
'Phase 2 Performance' Lead Organization met the Phase II Funds Flow Performance Threshold	2	Partner met the Phase 2 80% Performance Threshold
	1	Partner met the Phase 2 70% Performance Threshold
	0	Partner Did Not Participate in Phase 2 or Missed Threshold
Total Possible Score	2	

Scored Criteria Rated by Contractor:		
	Score	Criteria
Lead Organization: Organization has experience leading innovative, collaborative projects.	3	Lead Applicant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrates extensive experience/history of leading innovative, collaborative projects; leaving no uncertainty about their ability to successfully execute the proposed project.
	2	Lead Applicant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrates some experience/history of leading innovative, collaborative projects. Organization's history and experience gives concern about their ability to successfully execute the proposed project.
	1	Lead Applicant <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not have history/experience of leading innovative, collaborative projects. Organization's history and experience indicates potential inability to successfully execute the proposed project.
	0	Lead Applicant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did not include in RFP response.

	Score	Criteria
Demonstrated Need: A description of the demonstrated need within the CNYCC region that will be addressed.	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a well-reasoned, thoroughly supported explanation (with evidence) of the need that will be addressed by their project.
	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explanation of the need is well explained but lacks sufficient supporting evidence.
	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explanation of the need is vague and lacks supporting evidence.
	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did not include in RFP response.



	Score	Criteria
Project Description and Activities: RFP provides a description of the proposed project, goal, and major activities associated with the project.	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a clear description of the proposed project. Proposed activities are sensible and thoroughly explained. Activities will clearly address the identified need(s). Provides stated goal of the project.
	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a description of the proposed project. Proposed activities are sensible but vaguely explained. It is unclear if the activities will address the identified need(s). Provides stated goal of the project.
	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides an unclear description of the proposed project. Proposed activities are poorly explained and unrelated to the identified need. Provides stated goal of the project.
	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not state goal of project. Did not include in RFP response.
	Score	Criteria
Innovation: Innovativeness of the project.	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a clear explanation of how the proposed project is innovative, or the innovativeness of the project is apparent.
	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The innovativeness of the project is somewhat in doubt.
	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project is not innovative compared to current practice.
	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did not include in RFP response.
	Score	Criteria
Sustainability: How will the project be sustained beyond the Innovation Fund funding period?	6	The Proposed Project: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will be sustained after the end of the Innovation Fund funding period and the applicant provides a thorough explanation/plan of how.
	4	The Proposed Project: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will potentially be sustained after the end of the Innovation Fund funding period but the applicant explanation/plan of how leaves concern about the certainty that the project will be sustained.
	2	The Proposed Project: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is unlikely to be sustained beyond the Innovation Fund funding period. Does not have a well thought out plan for sustainability.



	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lead applicant fails to provide information about potential funding post Innovation Fund.
	Score	Criteria
Collaboration: Explanation of how the project will foster cross-sector collaboration.	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RFP lists a variety (3+) of collaborative partners representing different business sectors. RFP includes at least one Non-Safety-Net/Community Based organization. Provides a well-thought explanation of how the partners will work together to achieve the project's stated goal(s).
	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RFP lists a few (2) collaborative partners representing different business sectors. Weak/loose explanation of how the partners will work together to achieve the project's stated goal(s).
	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RFP lists one collaborative partner representing different business sectors. Poorly reasoned explanation of how the partners will work together to achieve the project's stated goal(s).
	0	Lead Applicant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RFP does not list any collaborative partners. Did not include in RFP response.
	Score	Criteria
Outcomes: Identify anticipated outcome measures of demonstration project.	6	Lead Applicant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has identified outcome measures the demonstration project will track and monitor.
	4	Lead Applicant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has vague description/plan of outcome measures the demonstration project will track and monitor.
	2	Lead Applicant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will create plan for identifying and capturing performance and outcome data.
	0	Lead Applicant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did not include in RFP response.
	Score	Criteria
Evaluation and Impact: What process and outcomes measures will be used by the applicant to measure the project's success? How many patients will be serviced by the project?	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process and outcome measures clearly tied to the project's stated goals and that will allow for evaluation of the project's success. The project will target a large number of individuals (100+)
	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process and outcome measures, but they are minimal or loosely tied to the project's stated goals. It is unclear how well the project's success can be evaluated.



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project will target a medium-size number of individuals (50+)
	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Either process or outcome measures are missing from the proposal. There is no way to evaluate the project's success in achieving its goals. The project covers a single county and will target a specific/small population of individuals.
	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did not include in RFP response.
	Score	Criteria
Alignment with Innovation Fund Priorities: Does the project address social determinants of health, health disparities, or any Innovation Fund priority area?	9	The project includes activities that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly address social determinants of health, health disparities, or any Innovation Fund priority area in the community and/or Clearly address health disparities specific to PHM Roadmap
	6	The project includes activities that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will address social determinants of health, health disparities, or any Innovation Fund priority area in the community.
	3	The project includes activities that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will loosely address one priority area: either social determinants of health, health disparities, or Innovation Fund priority area.
	0	The Project: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not address any priority areas.
Total Possible Score*	57	
Combined Total Possible Score	59	

*CNYCC reserves the right to modify proposal rank and selection in order to fund proposals that allow for a diverse geographic and programmatic representation.



Innovation Fund 2019 Evaluation Rubric	Max Points Possible
Pass/Fail Criteria Rated by CNYCC:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter of Intent was submitted by a lead applicant that is a Safety-Net, CNYCC partner, with executed Phase 3 Contract. 	Pass/Fail
Pass/Fail Criteria Rated by Contractor:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed project does not duplicate existing DSRIP projects or Phase 2/3 Performance Activities. 	Pass/Fail
Scored Criteria Rated by CNYCC:	
Lead Organization met the Phase II Funds Flow Performance Threshold	2
Scored Criteria Rated by Contractor:	
Lead Organization has experience leading innovative, collaborative projects. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lead Applicant demonstrates extensive experience/history of leading innovative, collaborative projects; leaving no uncertainty about their ability to successfully execute the proposed project. 	3
Project will address a compelling need within the CNYCC region. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a well-reasoned, thoroughly supported explanation (with evidence) of the need that will be addressed by their project. 	9
Project description: including, goal, and major activities associated with the project. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a clear description of the proposed project. Proposed activities are sensible and thoroughly explained. Activities will clearly address the identified need(s). Provides stated goal of the project. 	6
Project is innovative. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a clear explanation of how the proposed project is innovative, or the innovativeness of the project is apparent. 	6
Project can be sustained beyond the Innovation Fund funding period. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed project will be sustained after the end of the Innovation Fund funding period and the applicant provides a thorough explanation/plan of how. 	6
Project will foster cross-sector collaboration. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RFP lists a variety (3+) of collaborative partners representing different business sectors. RFP includes at least one Non-Safety-Net/Community Based organization. Provides a well-thought explanation of how the partners will work together to achieve the project's stated goal(s). 	9
Proposal identifies anticipated outcomes of demonstration project. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lead Applicant has identified outcome measures the demonstration project will track and monitor. 	6
Project has process and outcomes measures that can demonstrate the project's success. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process and outcome measures clearly tied to the project's stated goals and that will allow for evaluation of the project's success. The project will target a large number of individuals (100+) 	6
Project addresses an Innovation Fund priority area. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes activities that will clearly address social determinants of health, health disparities, or any Innovation Fund priority area in the community and/or Includes activities that clearly address health disparities specific to PHM Roadmap 	9
Total Possible Score	59